



High above the rest: standing behaviors in the amoebae of *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba*

Tristan C. Henderson, Lucia Garcia-Gimeno, Charles E. Beasley Jr., Nicholas W. Fry, Jayden Bess, Matthew W. Brown*

Department of Biological Sciences, Mississippi State University, Mississippi State, MS, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Sappinia
Thecamoeba
 Amoebozoa
 Slime mold
 Cell behavior
 Amoeba
 Cow dung

ABSTRACT

Many terrestrial microbes have evolved cell behaviors that help them rise above their substrate, often to facilitate dispersal. One example of these behaviors is found in the amoebae of *Sappinia pedata*, which actively lift most of their cell mass above the substrate, known as standing. This standing behavior was first described in *S. pedata* in the 1890s from horse dung isolates but never molecularly characterized from dung. Our study expands this understanding, revealing the first molecularly confirmed *S. pedata* from herbivore dung in Mississippi, USA, and describing a new species, *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp., with larger trophozoite cells. Additionally, we isolated another standing amoeba, *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp., from soil, exhibiting a previously unreported “doughnut shape” transient behavior. In *S. dangeardi* n. sp., we discovered that standing is likely triggered by substrate drying, and that actin filaments actively localize in the “stalk” to support the standing cells, as observed through confocal microscopy. While the purpose of standing behaviors has not been investigated, we hypothesize it is energetically expensive and therefore a significant evolutionary strategy in these organisms. Overall, this study emphasizes behavioral adaptations to terrestrial environments within Amoebozoa, stressing the importance of diverse laboratory conditions that replicate natural habitats.

1. Introduction

The study of non-model protists, particularly those inhabiting terrestrial environments, presents an exciting frontier in biology. With the expansion of molecular methods, great biological diversity has been exposed and better resolved (Burki et al., 2019, 2021). As we discover novel diversity, it becomes evident that there are more biological mysteries to uncover through direct observation in the laboratory. We set out to explore terrestrial microhabitats, such as dung and soil, to isolate, culture, and observe eukaryotic microbes focused primarily on amoeboid taxa. From this, we have found novel diversity within the genera *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba* (Amoebozoa, Discosea, Thecamoebida), and recorded remarkable standing behaviors that have not been previously observed in some members of these genera.

In the late 1890s, Pierre Clement Augustin Dangeard, a pioneering mycologist, discovered *Sappinia pedata* forming macroscopic white patches on old horse dung cultures that had undergone several cycles of

desiccation and high humidity (Dangeard, 1896). These patches revealed numerous individuals heaped upon each other, forming what he compared to the “pseudoplasmodium” aggregate of cells to the cellular, sorocarpic, slime molds. Dangeard’s work paid tribute to the mycologist Mister Sappin-Trouffy, establishing the genus *Sappinia* and naming this dung-dwelling species *Sappinia pedata*. This species has a characteristic ability to stand, where the cell attaches to the substrate and pushes most of its cell mass into the open air, resembling a club or baseball bat shape. Dangeard shed light on the standing behavior of *S. pedata* and its remarkable nuclear division where nuclei would divide as closely apposed pairs or quadruples. Olive (1902) and Raper (1960) further expanded on Dangeard’s work, focusing on *Sappinia*’s aggregative cellular interactions, standing behavior (mistaken as formation of a walled-cell spore), and adaptation to varying environmental factors. Looking at herbivore dung in the United States, E. W. Olive hypothesized that there are two species of standing *Sappinia* differing in size, also noted in Cook (1939) from *Bos taurus* dung in Bristol, England (Olive,

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: matthew.brown@msstate.edu (M.W. Brown).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2024.126082>

Received 8 December 2023; Received in revised form 8 April 2024; Accepted 10 April 2024

Available online 12 April 2024

0932-4739/© 2024 Elsevier GmbH. All rights reserved.

1902). At this time, *S. pedata* was still held as a slime mold in the Acrasiaceae much like other amoebae from herbivore dung and dead plant material (dictyostelids, *Copromyxa*, *Guttulinopsis*, *Fonticula*, *Sorodiplophrys*, *Pocheina*, and *Acrasis*) now known to be polyphyletic across Amoebozoa, Rhizaria, Obazoa, Stramenopiles, and Excavata (Brown and Silberman, 2013; Tice and Brown, 2022).

Dangeard, Olive, Cook, and Raper all studied *S. pedata* exclusively from dung samples, but *S. pedata* may also be frequently found on dead plant materials (Brown et al., 2007). Brown et al. (2007) neotypified *S. pedata* using a leaf isolate, and examined it with molecular phylogenetics along with three additional globally distributed, plant-isolated strains. Until now, a dung-dwelling strain of *S. pedata* has yet to be molecularly confirmed calling into question if *S. pedata* from dung is conspecific with *S. pedata* from leaves as proposed by Brown et al. (2007).

Other members of *Sappinia* have much in common with the morphology of *S. pedata* described by Dangeard. They are also primarily terrestrial amoebae, distinguished by paired nuclei (most often a single pair) and locomotive forms are monopodial lingulate ovate amoebae with ridges (wrinkles) along the sides of their cell body (Brown et al., 2007; Goodfellow et al., 1974; Page, 1976, 1988; Walochnik et al., 2010). *Sappinia diploidea* was first described as “*Amoeba diploidea*” by Hartmann and Nägler (1908), later placed into the genus *Sappinia* by Alexieff (1912). They isolated it from the intestinal material of a lizard’s colon and were intrigued by the genus *Sappinia* due to shared morphology with *S. pedata*. In 2014, *Sappinia platani* was described and showed standing in older cultures although it appeared to be much less frequent than in *S. pedata* (Wylezich et al., 2014). This behavior was only mentioned in the text and no photographic evidence was published of the standing cells. *Sappinia* species have been isolated from various herbivore dung (Dangeard, 1896; Olive, 1902), dead plant material, barks, mosses, soils, and freshwater ponds (Brown et al., 2007; Michel et al., 2006). In 2001, *Sappinia* was reported to be a neurological pathogen causing amoebic encephalitis (Gelman et al., 2001, 2003), molecularly confirmed to be *S. pedata* sensu Brown et al., 2007 (Qvarnstrom et al., 2009).

Sappinia was placed into the Thecamoebidae Schaeffer, 1926 by Page (1987) using traditional morphological characterizations, and later placed molecularly into the clade by Michel et al. (2006). Although the cells of *Sappinia* visually resemble their sister genus, *Thecamoeba*, they lack the characteristic dorsal longitudinal folds indicative of *Thecamoeba* cells (Page, 1976). *Thecamoeba* is recognized by its single, centrally located ovoid nucleus and prominent dorsal longitudinal folds. Found mainly in moist soil and leaf litter (Page, 1977) but also in freshwater habitats (Page, 1976, 1983), *Thecamoeba* cells exhibit a flattened locomotive form with a broad, fan-shaped appearance, and a rugose or striate morphotype (Smirnov and Brown, 2004). Together, *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba* are relatively common in terrestrial environments and morphologically understood but are not well described in their cellular behaviors.

Here we expand our knowledge of the Thecamoebida group, reporting two new isolates of both *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba*, representing two new species. Our study contributes to the rich history of research on *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba*, offering new insights into the interesting cellular behaviors of these commonly found yet morphologically diverse and behaviorally complex amoeboid taxa.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Sample processing and culturing

Locality information for each strain is presented in Table 1. *Sappinia* strains BF22-2A and MSU2206 were isolated from *Bos taurus* dung, incubated at room temperature for 2–3 days, and scanned at 5x magnification on a Leica M205C stereoscope. Standing cells were carefully picked from dung samples using a sterile Minutien Insect Pin (Carolina Biological, Burlington, NC, USA) (Suppl. Video 1). These cells were then transferred to sterilized cow dung that had been soaked in a dense suspension of *Escherichia coli* (K-12 strain MG1655) in commercially available spring water. To prepare sterilized dung, approximately 0.5 kg of fresh cow dung was collected from Mississippi State University cattle farms, placed into a 1 L beaker, and covered with aluminum foil. This setup was autoclaved for 45 min to ensure sterilization. For culturing, about 2 g of sterilized dung was placed on the surface of a non-nutrient spring water agar Petri dish (1 L Deer-Park spring water and 15 g agar) (Deer Park Spring Water, BlueTriton Brands, Stamford, CT, USA). The suspension of food bacteria (*E. coli*), as above, was made by scrapping four culture plates of *E. coli* (on LB medium) and vortexing it with 5 mL of spring water. This suspension had an estimated optical density (OD) of eight, calculated by measuring the OD of a 1/16 dilution of the suspension. Clonal cultures were maintained at room temperature, with passages onto fresh media every three weeks. To sub-culture, a small piece of culture dung with standing cells was transferred to the same *E. coli* soaked sterilized dung medium mentioned above.

Thecamoeba strain SK13-4B and SK13-4H were isolated from soil collected in Northern Mississippi, USA (Table 1, 33.455812° N, –88.788126° E). About 1 g of the upper soil crust was sterilely collected into a sterile Petri dish, immediately brought into the laboratory, and suspended in 10 mL of sterile spring water. Four drops (ca. 40 µL each) of this suspension were put onto a sterile non-nutrient spring water agar Petri dish separated by roughly 2 cm of space between each drop. Once the drops soaked into the agar surface the plate was put upside-down. Observation of the plate using a 10x objective of a compound microscope occurred daily, focusing primarily on the edges of the dried drop of soil suspension. After five days post-plating single amoeba cells were isolated using a 30-gauge platinum wire loop, sterilized by an ethanol flame. To do this, cells were dragged using the Pt loop in the meniscus created by touching the loop to the agar surface from one area of the plate to an area and transferred onto spring water agar with a streak of *E. coli* (MG1655) as a food source. A clonal culture of each was established using this technique. Unfortunately, strain SK13-4H was lost and no further cultures remain of this strain.

2.2. Microscopy and morphometrics

For detailed observations, agar culture slides were made using a small block (ca. 4 mm³ cube) of spring water agar melted onto glass slides with a coverslip on top of the agar block. This was achieved by gently heating the bottom of a glass slide over a Bunsen burner flame to heat the slide surface causing the agar to melt and be sandwiched between the slide and coverslip. After 10 min of cooling at room temperature the coverslip was removed by sliding off the thin agar surface, inoculated with amoebae, and covered with a fresh cover slip and sterile spring water. After 15 min, cells were examined using differential

Table 1
Details of isolates explored in this study.

Isolate ID	Species	Place	Site name	Substrate	Latitude	Longitude
BF22-2A	<i>Sappinia dangeardi</i> n. sp.	MS, USA	Byrum Farm	<i>Bos taurus</i> dung	33.500459° N	–88.752719° E
MSU2206	<i>Sappinia pedata</i>	MS, USA	MSU – Agricultural Research Center	<i>Bos taurus</i> dung	33.418104° N	–88.786925° E
SK13-4B	<i>Thecamoeba homeri</i> n. sp.	MS, USA	MS – Harned Hall	Soil	33.455812° N	–88.788126° E
SK13-4H	<i>Thecamoeba</i> sp.	MS, USA	MS – Harned Hall	Soil	33.455812° N	–88.788126° E

interference contrast (DIC) on a Zeiss Axioskop 2 Plus upright compound microscope (Carl Zeiss Microimaging, Thornwood, NJ, USA) under a 40x Plan-NeoFluar (NA 0.75) connected to a Canon (Huntington, NY, USA) CMOS digital camera (EOS R, 30.3MP full frame mirrorless for *Sappinia* spp. and *Thecamoeba* SK13-4B, while Canon EOS 650D, 18.0MP 4:3 APS-C DSLR for *Thecamoeba* SK13-4H) controlled by Canon EOS Utility software for Macintosh. Imaging of standing amoebae of *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba* isolates were imaged under brightfield illumination with a 10x Plan-NeoFluar (NA 0.30) objective directly on the dung substrate or straw by removing the Petri dish lid and imaging from above. Cellular measurements to generate morphometric data for both *Sappinia* strains were obtained by measuring images of active locomotive trophozoites on agar surfaces only taken under a 10x Plan-NeoFluar (NA 0.30) objective in ImageJ software (<https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>) with the Scale Bar tools for Microscopes utility (<https://image.bio.meth.ods.free.fr/ImageJ/?Scale-Bar-Tools-for-Microscopes.html>). Length, breadth, and length to breadth ratios were plotted for both strains of *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba* SK13-4B in R (R Core Team, 2021). Significant differences were tested using two-sample t-tests between both strains of *Sappinia* also in R.

2.3. Video microscopy and time-lapse microscopy

Sappinia dangeardi n. sp. isolates from dung habitats regularly stand on sterilized dung (Suppl. Video 2, 3, 4). To capture the process of standing in “natural” habitats, we employed time-lapse microscopy. For Supplemental Video 2 and 3, we used sterile forceps to move a piece of dung with numerous standing cells from a dense culture from a dung culture plate, placed it on an agar-coated slide (described above, but without a coverslip overlay), and took an image every five seconds under a 10x objective with transmitted light (same camera setup as above). Alternatively for Supplemental Video 4 we took time-lapse microscopy on dung culture plates under reflected light, using a Canon EOS 650D camera connected to a Leica M205C stereomicroscope. The resulting image sets were compiled together in Adobe Premiere Pro (Mountain View, CA, USA) and exported as an MP4 video at 30 frames per second. To create a timecode in the video, Adobe After Effects and custom JavaScript were used (found on TheBrownLab GitHub: <https://github.com/TheBrownLab/time-lapse.tools>). The process of doughnut cell morphology formation in *Thecamoeba* SK13-4B was captured with brightfield real-time video microscopy (Suppl. Video 5) as noted above using brightfield microscopy under 10x with the plate inverted upside-down imaging through the agar medium. Canon EOS utility was used for video acquisition.

2.4. Induction of standing in *Thecamoeba*

The formation of standing bodies was tested for *Thecamoeba* strain SK13-4B by soaking sterilized straw in a slurry of sterile spring water (ca. 500 μ L) containing loop of *E. coli* grown on a nutrient agar and placing the soaked straw on an agar Petri dish containing the amoeba culture near a dense patch of amoebal growth according to Brown et al. (2012). Straw was subsequently observed for one week by scanning the edges of the substrate using a 10x objective with a compound microscope. After a few days of observation, standing was observed on the straw edge. The same technique was used for *Thecamoeba* strain SK13-4H, but no standing was observed.

2.5. Cytoskeletal staining

About 15 standing cells of *Sappinia* (BF22-2A) were picked directly off the agar/sterile dung culture using a flame sterilized Minutien needle. This was achieved by picking one cell then using that cell to pick the next cell, creating a chain of standing cells. The chain was placed onto the center of one well of a sterile 2-well cell culture chamber glass slide (NEST Scientific USA, Woodbridge, NJ, USA). Immediately under a

dissecting stereoscope, 1000 μ L of -80°C methanol was gently put into the well of the slide, while watching the chain of cell being careful not to disrupt or cause cells to detach. Cells were fixed for 10 s in the methanol. After washing in sterile spring water, the cells were stained following the protocol from Porfirio-Sousa et al. (2023). Cells were labeled with ActinGreen 488-nm ready probes (Life Tech | R37110) followed by NucBlue ReadyProbes (Life Tech | R37605). Subsequently after three rounds of washing, the cells were mounted in Fluoromount-G (Life Tech | 00-4958-02) with a clean 1.5H coverslip (0.170 mm $\pm$ 0.005 mm), allowed to dry, and sealed with clear nail polish. Cells were visualized with an inverted confocal microscope (Leica TCS SPE-II, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with four solid state lasers (405, 488, 532/561, 635 nm excitation), under an Advanced Correction System (ACS) 63x-Oil (NA 1.30) objective controlled by the LAS X Leica software.

2.6. Genomic DNA extraction

Cells of *Sappinia* isolates (BF22-2A and MSU2206) were maintained on sterile dung inoculated with a slurry of *E. coli*. Since these isolates only grew well on dung as a medium, to isolate genomic DNA from these strains about 100 individual cells were picked using a Minutien insect needle and placed directly into QuickExtract solution (LGC Biosearch Technologies-Epicentre, Madison WI, USA) following the manufacturer's recommended protocol. *Thecamoeba* SK13-4B cells were grown on agar surfaces where they ate the *E. coli* streaked onto the plate. Dense cultures of amoebae on agar plates were used for DNA extraction. An area of dense cells were picked using a metal loop and transferred to a 200 μ L PCR tube with 100 μ L of QuickExtract. DNA was processed following the manufacturer's recommended protocol and in accordance with Walthall et al. (2016).

2.7. SSU rDNA amplification

The near full-length SSU rDNA was PCR amplified using the universal eukaryotic SSU rDNA primers, 5AmF forward 5'-AAC CTG GTT GAT CCT GCC-3' (primer S1 in Fiore-Donno et al., 2008) with MedlinB reverse 5'-CCC GGG ATC CAA GCT TGA TCC TTC TGC AGG TTC ACC TAC-3' (Medlin et al., 1988) and GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega). The PCR cycling parameters were 3 min at 95°C , followed by 34 cycles of 30 s at 95°C , 25 s at 48°C , and 3.5 min at 72°C . PCR products were purified using Mag-Bind TotalPure NGS magnetic beads (Omega Bio-tek, Inc., Norcross, GA, USA). The purified PCR amplicons were sequenced directly using the PCR primers and internal sequencing primers. All rDNAs were fully sequenced in both orientations. Sequences have been deposited in GenBank (Clark et al., 2016) under the accession numbers PP647603–PP647606.

2.8. Molecular phylogenetic analyses

The SSU rRNA gene sequences of *S. dangeardi* n. sp. (BF22-2A), *S. pedata* from dung (MSU2206), and *T. homeri* n. sp. (SK13-4B), and sequences from GenBank (Clark et al., 2016) from 72 taxa from Thecamoebida, and the closely related genera *Dermamoeba* and *Parad-ermamoeba* as outgroup taxa were collected. In addition, the locality information of each strain is presented in Supplemental Table 1, which was used to visualize strain locality in the SSU rDNA phylogenetic tree. All these SSU rDNA sequences were aligned using MAFFT with the L-INS-i algorithm (Katoh and Standley, 2013). The aligned sequences were then trimmed with BMGE software (Criscuolo and Gribaldo, 2010) with a global entropy (–g) value of 0.8. The final dataset was visually inspected for alignment errors and contained 1732 unambiguously aligned nucleotide characters. The maximum likelihood tree was built in RAXML ver. 8.2.12 (Stamatakis, 2014) with GTRGAMMAI model of nucleotide substitution. Topological support for this phylogeny was assessed with 1000 rapid bootstrap pseudoreplicates.

Uncorrected pairwise distances of SSU rRNA gene for *Sappinia* spp. and *Thecamoeba* spp. were inferred by individually collecting all taxa within each genus, realigning these generic sequences alone using MAFFT with the AUTO algorithm. The aligned sequences were then trimmed with BMGE software (Criscuolo and Gribaldo, 2010) with a global entropy (–g) value of 0.9, yielding an alignment per genus without ambiguously aligned sites. From these uncorrected pairwise distances were calculated in PAUP* (Swofford, 2002) using the “showdist” function (Suppl. Table 2). From these trimmed alignments we also inferred phylogenetic trees in RAxML with GTRGAMMA model of nucleotide substitution. Topological support for each phylogeny was assessed with 100 rapid bootstrap pseudoreplicates.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Phylogenetic identity and morphological characteristics of strains and new species

This study illustrates two molecularly identified species of standing *Sappinia* inhabiting herbivore dung from cows. One of these species, strain BF22-2A, is new and herein named *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. in honor of P. A. Dangeard (Fig. 1A–C). The phylogenetic placement of *S. dangeardi* is fully supported in its grouping with *S. diploidea* and *S. platani* (Fig. 2). The exact placement of *S. dangeardi* in the genus is ambiguous, branching with poor support as sister to *S. diploidea* (Fig. 2) or branching with *Sappinia* sp. LC311582 in our *Sappinia*-only phylogeny also with poor support (Suppl. Fig. 1). Based on a phylogenetic species concept, we consider that this strain represents a new species of *Sappinia*. The second strain, MSU2206, was identified as *S. pedata* by its near full support close grouping with other *S. pedata* isolates neotypified by Brown et al. (2007) from dead leaves (bootstrap support of 99 % in Fig. 2 in a global Thecamoebida phylogeny, and 100 % in Suppl. Fig. 1 in a phylogeny of *Sappinia*). This makes MSU2206 the first molecularly confirmed herbivore dung isolate of *S. pedata*.

Additionally, we examined the morphology of both *Sappinia* dung isolates. The locomotive cells of *S. dangeardi* are significantly larger than

S. pedata both in length (two sample *t*-test, *p*-value = 6.51e–16) and breadth (two sample *t*-test, *p*-value = 2.159e–08) (Suppl. Fig. 2). From these data, *S. dangeardi* cells measure to be about 10 µm longer and 4 µm wider in breadth than *S. pedata* cells. The standing cells of *S. dangeardi* and *S. pedata* show remarkable plasticity in their shape and size. We have measured the height of standing cells showing that *S. dangeardi* tends to be significantly taller than *S. pedata* (Suppl. Fig. 2). This may support E. W. Olive’s hypothesis that there are two distinct dung-inhabiting species of *Sappinia* distinguished by size (Olive, 1902). However, we qualitatively find that the age of the cultures and humidity can affect standing behavior, further complicating reliable measurements. Because of this, we advise that standing form morphology should not be used for diagnosis of species. Interestingly, the *S. dangeardi* and *S. pedata* from dung both display hemispherical paired nuclei as well as the circular paired nuclei that are common across *Sappinia* amoebae. We most often observe this morphology in standing cells that were picked onto a glass slide, and it is more common in *S. dangeardi* (Suppl. Fig. 3). This nuclear morphology does not appear to have been reported in *Sappinia* before.

Sappinia sp. CCAP 1575/3 was unintentionally obtained from the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (<https://www.ccap.ac.uk/>) on October 25, 2021. We had originally purchased the strain CCAP 1575/4 (named *Sappinia diploidea* on CCAP’s website), which is the type strain of *Sappinia platani* (PL-247). Through a transcriptome sequencing project, unrelated to this study, we discovered that the isolate labeled as CCAP 1575/4 is actually CCAP 1575/3 based on BlastN (Altschul et al., 1990) of the SSU rDNA contig from the transcriptome generated from this culture to NCBI nucleotide database is 99.96 % identical (1 base pair difference across the BlastN alignment) to *Sappinia* sp. CCAP 1575/3 strain Busnog (EU881942), versus 93 % identity to that of the *S. platani* CCAP 1575/4 (EU881943) (data not shown). Thus, the isolate we purchased turned out to be the undescribed strain of *Sappinia* sp. CCAP 1575/3 (Busnog). During our cultivation of this organism we observed multiple standing cells on the agar surface. One standing individual was imaged under a 10x objective (Fig. 1F).

We also isolated two new strains of *Thecamoeba* (SK13-4B and SK13-




Fig. 1. Microscopy of *Sappinia* isolates. (A–C) *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp., strain BF22-2A. DIC micrograph of a trophozoite amoeboid cell (A) and standing cells on dung (B, C). (D, E) *Sappinia pedata*, strain MSU2206. Standing cells on dung. (F) *Sappinia* sp., CCAP 1575/3 (Busnog) strain, standing cell in culture. Cells in (B, D, F) are to scale, as are (C) and (E). Scale bars: 50 µm.




Fig. 2. Maximum likelihood (ML) SSU rRNA gene tree of Thecamoebida, including all available Thecamoebida 18S sequences with *Dermamoeba* and *Paradermamoeba* as outgroup taxa. The tree was constructed with RAXML using the GTRGAMMAI model of evolution with 1772 nucleotides sites, with 1000 ML bootstrap replicates mapped. Bolded taxa are strains observed during the course of this research. Closed dots represent 100 % bootstrap support and open dots represent 95–99 % bootstrap support. Bootstrap values below 50 % are not noted. Additional strain locality information included in [Supplemental Table 1](#).

4H), the sister to *Sappinia* spp. to further expand our phylogeny of Thecamoebida. We herein name our strain SK13-4B *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp. named after the cartoon character Homer Simpson, due to the “doughnut” morphology described below. The morphology of both strains are typical of the genus, with lingulate oblong to somewhat round amoebae with longitudinal folds on the dorsum of the cells (Figs. 3A–E, 4A–C). As noted previously by others, because of shared morphological characteristics, morphological identification of *Thecamoeba* isolates to the species level is often not possible under light microscopy and thus requires molecular characterization of the SSU rRNA gene (Mesentsev et al., 2020). These isolates have an uncorrected pairwise distance of 0.05, i.e., 5 % different in 18S rDNA sequence (Suppl. Table 2), in an alignment of strictly *Thecamoeba* isolates, which was also used in a phylogenetic analysis (Suppl. Fig. 4). In our SSU rRNA phylogeny, both new strains form a clade fully supported as sister to *T. similis*, which together are sister to *T. foliovenanda* (Fig. 2). These relationships are further supported by similar morphological characteristics between our strains, *T. similis*, and *T. foliovenanda*.

Unfortunately, shortly after isolation and molecular characterization of strain SK13-4H, it was lost, and no further characterization is now possible. Thus, we are unable to adequately describe the strain. The locomotive form of cells from SK13-4B strain measure on average 65 µm in length and 49 µm in breadth falling morphologically between *T. similis* (36–57 µm in length and 38–44 µm in breadth) and *T. foliovenanda* (71 µm in length and 55 µm in breadth) (Mesentsev et al., 2020; Page, 1977). Like these species, the nuclei of SK13-4B are rounded and elongated with irregular peripheral nucleoli (Fig. 3F–L). In addition, we have represented the morphological variation of *T. homeri* n. sp. as violin plots in Supplemental Fig. 5.

3.2. Investigation into the behaviors of *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp.

We further investigated the mechanisms of standing behavior of *S. dangeardi*. For the cytoskeletal architecture of standing cells, we were able to stain DNA and microfilaments. Through confocal fluorescence microscopy, we observed that actin heavily localizes to the “stalk” of




Fig. 3. Microscopy of *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp., strain SK13-4B. (A–E) DIC micrographs of trophozoite amoeboid cells, showing dorsal ridges. (F–L) Nucleus with lobed peripheral nucleoli. (M) A standing cell on a projection of a piece of straw material. (N) Standing cells on straw material. (O, P) Doughnut morphologies on the agar surface. Scale bars: 10 μ m (A–L), 25 μ m (O, P), and 50 μ m (M, N).

standing cells (Fig. 5). Unlike the true stalk created by dormant fruiting forms of other amoebae, such as protosteloid amoebae (see Spiegel et al., 2017), the “stalk” of *Sappinia* is a temporary structure of the cell itself. Standing *Sappinia* are not dormant. They immediately begin moving when transferred to agar. From our observations, we hypothesize the cells must constantly create physical pressure in the stalk, utilizing actin, to maintain standing. This is an intriguing biophysical phenomenon, as cells can maintain standing completely straight up, upside down, and horizontally for hours (Suppl. Video 2, 3, 4). We also consistently observe nuclei resting at the lower end of the “head” region of the cell.

The initiation of standing in *S. dangeardi* appears to be triggered by substrate drying. A qualitative experiment of three parafilm-sealed and three unsealed cultures supported this hypothesis. When parafilm-sealed, the freshly passed culture was kept moist and there was still growth (seen on the clear agar edges), but little to no standing after 10 h. After removing parafilm, thousands of cells began standing within 10 h. In the presence of dry conditions, we also predict it is more advantageous to leave the substrate and to disperse to more favorable conditions. Standing behavior may be beneficial to dispersal via abiotic (rain, wind, etc.) or biotic (animals) vectors. Experiments that gauge standing’s contribution to dispersal would be beneficial for future work.

Since 2007, one of us (M.W. Brown) has attempted and failed many times to isolate and culture *S. pedata* from dung, which is frequently encountered when working with dung amoebae. In these attempts, cells were simply picked from the dung and placed on a non-nutrient agar along with *E. coli* as a food source. While this method was highly successful for *S. pedata* from dead plant materials (mostly leaves) (Brown et al., 2007), this simply has not worked for dung inhabiting *S. pedata*. *Sappinia dangeardi* also shows a reliance on dung to culture. Over the last few years, we have discovered that dung itself and perhaps the prokaryotic community may be required for the successful cultivation of many dung-inhabiting protistan taxa (personal observation). Moreover,

we observed aggregates of *Sappinia* cells on wild dung samples. These *Sappinia* aggregates were subcultured by carefully transferring an aggregate with a sterile insect needle onto sterilized dung seeded with *E. coli*. Contrary to our experiences with subculturing both our *Sappinia* isolates (MSU2206 and BF22-2A), these subcultures showed a resurgence of this aggregative behavior using the same culture method. When subculturing by picking standing single cells, aggregative behavior has not been observed.

3.3. Standing and doughnut behaviors of *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp.

In addition to the well-known standing behavior in *Sappinia* species, we observed standing-like structures of our *T. homeri* isolate (SK13-4B) (Fig. 3M, N) after adding sterilized straw soaked in an *E. coli* slurry on top of the agar culture medium. This idea came from observations of primary isolation plates with dead plant material, where we have seen presumed *Thecamoeba* cells protrude most of their cell body (standing) from the edges of the plant material. The standing cell observed in this strain was not as obvious or pronounced as the standing cells in *Sappinia* spp. As it is shorter and appears to be much less infrequent, only observed less than five times.

Another interesting behavior found in *T. homeri* (SK13-4B), and of a lost strain of *Thecamoeba* (SK13-4H), is the formation of a transient doughnut-shaped cell morphology (Figs. 3O, P, 4E, F, Suppl. Video 5). When viewed from above (or below) the cells have a doughnut ring-like appearance. Currently, the cellular processes to form this transient morphology are completely unknown, but the behavior is worth noting here as it has never been previously documented. First, the cell appears to form a volcano shape with the hole (crater-like) towards the air. However, we cannot make a definitive description of how this hole closes and if there is another opening on the adhered end of the cell. We also have observed this behavior as a separate process from contractile




Fig. 4. Microscopy of *Thecamoeba* sp., strain SK13-4H. (A–C) DIC micrographs of trophozoite amoeboid cells, showing dorsal ridges. (D) Nucleus with lobed peripheral nucleoli. (E, F) Doughnut morphologies on the agar surface. Scale bars: 10 µm (A–C to scale, D) and 25 µm (F).

vacuole formation (Suppl. Video 6). The process of forming the doughnut shape is very rapid (ca. 2–5 min) and the cells seem to frequently perform this behavior at random intervals. Due to this, we have been unable to fix cells for imaging under scanning electron, transmission electron, or immunohistochemical microscopy to clarify the underlying mechanism. As far as we know, this doughnut behavior has not been reported in any other *Thecamoeba* isolate, although it has been noted to occur frequently in unidentified *Thecamoeba* species on primary isolation plates while searching for protosteloid amoebae by other researchers (pers. comm. F.W. Spiegel and A.K. Tice, as well as ourselves). Both standing and doughnut behaviors may indeed be more prevalent across *Thecamoeba* and even Thecamoebida, but often these taxa are cultured in liquid environmental conditions in the laboratory. These behaviors are unlikely to be observed in liquid conditions, as they appear to be terrestrial phenomena. Future studies are needed to examine standing behaviors among *Thecamoeba* and the whole Thecamoebidae family.

Our research has unveiled two novel isolates, *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. and *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp., each exhibiting unique behaviors and morphologies in terrestrial contexts. Our findings emphasize the importance of considering culture conditions when studying amoeboid taxa, as the use of standard liquid media may lead to overlooking behaviors unique to terrestrial contexts. The induction of standing behavior in our *Thecamoeba* isolate SK13-4B by adding sterilized straw to agar media highlights the potential for mimicking environmental conditions to reveal previously undocumented behaviors in these organisms. Furthermore, this study and other studies reinforce the notion that traditional morphological features, such as standing, bicellular cysts, and paired nuclei, are insufficient for species identification within *Sappinia* (Wylezich et al., 2014). Molecular methods, particularly SSU rRNA gene phylogenetics, provide an accurate and reliable means of identification and classification, particularly when conferred with

morphological characters, revealing cryptic species where morphology fails. Notably, our work, along with a recent study on *T. terricola* by Mesentsev et al. (2023), suggests that standing behavior is more widespread among *Sappinia* and *Thecamoeba* species than previously understood. Observing *Sappinia* sp. CCAP 1575/3 (Busnog strain) and its standing behavior further corroborate this expanded view. These findings collectively underscore the dynamic nature of amoeboid taxonomy and the ongoing need to refine our understanding of their ecological adaptations and evolutionary relationships.

4. Taxonomic summary

ZooBank registration number of the present work is urn:lsid:zoo-bank.org:pub:8A5F433C-CD42-4326-BB86-B2ABE0A91097.

Eukaryota (Chatton, 1925) Whittaker and Margulis, 1978
Amorphea Adl et al., 2012
Amoebozoa Lühse, 1913 emend. Cavalier-Smith, 1998
Discosea Cavalier-Smith et al., 2004
Flabellinia Smirnov et al., 2005 *sensu* Kang et al., 2017
Thecamoebida Smirnov and Cavalier-Smith, 2011
Thecamoebidae Schaeffer, 1926
Sappinia Dangeard, 1896

***Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. Henderson and Brown, 2024**

Diagnosis. *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. can be diagnosed by its specific SSU rRNA sequence and by its phylogenetic placement. Ligulate monopodial amoeboid trophozoite cells with lateral side folds. Length in locomotion as observed on agar surfaces is 47–117 µm (average 72 µm, SD = 11, $n = 161$), width in locomotion 26–63 µm (average 42 µm, SD = 7, $n = 161$), length to breadth ratio 1.09–2.57 (average 1.75). The nuclei




Fig. 5. Histocytochemical fluorescence localization of cellular structures of *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. (BF22-2A) standing amoebae using confocal microscopy. ActinGreen 488-nm ready probes (Actin, Green) and NucBlue ReadyProbes (DNA, Blue). Scale bar: 50 µm (A–C to scale).

of cells most often display hemispherical morphologies. Cells with two closely appressed hemispherical nuclei measure in diameter across both nuclei at widest point is 9–14 µm (average 12 µm, SD = 1.4, $n = 21$). The length of hemispherical nuclei measure between 8–11 µm (average 9 µm, SD = 0.8, $n = 21$). The flat surface of the hemispherical nucleus pairs with flat surface of the other nucleus. Each nucleus is most often observed to have a central hemispherical nucleolus measuring in length 6–10 µm (average 8 µm, SD = 1, $n = 21$) and breadth 3–5 µm (average 4 µm, SD = 0.5, $n = 21$). Rarely rounded pairs of nuclei with central round nucleoli are observed. Trophozoite cells form multiple small contractile vacuoles, merging into one larger vacuole before expulsion (termed “polyvacuole”) often associated with the nucleus. Standing cells show a variety of forms, often associated with an erect baseball bat-shaped morphology of 53–159 µm (average 85 µm, SD = 201, $n = 103$) in height (length). Aggregative forms have been observed in this strain on the tips of small dung pieces. Cysts have not been observed in this strain. Primarily a bacterivore.

Type location. Strain BF22-2A of *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. was obtained from *Bos taurus* dung on private farm that is home to both cattle and horses near the Mississippi State University campus in Starkville, Mississippi, USA (lat. 33.500459° N, long. –88.752719° E) in March of 2022.

Type material. A permanent slide with fixed cells from the type strain BF22-2A is deposited in the Invertebrate Zoology Collection at the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History (USNM 1548485). This slide is considered the hapantotype (name-bearing type) of the species under article 73.3 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999).

Gene sequence data. The nearly complete SSU rRNA gene sequence of the type isolate (BF22-2A) is deposited in GenBank under accession

number PP647603.

ZooBank ID. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0CD69482-B9B7-46BB-9F08-29545E79CD59.

Etymology. For the specific epithet, we chose *dangeardi* in recognition of Pierre Clement Augustin Dangeard who originally described the genus *Sappinia*.

Differential diagnosis. *Sappinia dangeardi* n. sp. differs from other known species based on SSU rRNA gene sequences.

Eukaryota (Chatton, 1925) Whittaker and Margulis, 1978

Amorphea Adl et al., 2012

Amoebozoa Lühse, 1913 emend. Cavalier-Smith, 1998

Discosea Cavalier-Smith et al., 2004

Flabellinia Smirnov et al., 2005 *sensu* Kang et al., 2017

Thecamoebida Smirnov and Cavalier-Smith, 2011

Thecamoebidae Schaeffer, 1926

Thecamoeba Fromentel, 1874

Thecamoeba homeri n. sp. Henderson and Brown, 2024

Diagnosis. Cells are typical of the genus. Oblong amoeboid cells with dorsal wrinkles or parallel folds. Length in locomotion 50–79 µm (average 65 µm, SD = 7, $n = 102$), width in locomotion 37–65 µm (average 49 µm, SD = 6, $n = 102$), length to breadth ratio 1.03–1.92 (average 1.34). Nucleus commonly ovoid, length 7–21 µm (average 12.4 µm, SD = 3, $n = 101$) and breadth 4–14 µm (average 7 µm, SD = 3, $n = 101$). A single nucleus per cell observed. Numerous nucleoli circular to lobed (ca. 0.5–1 µm in diameter) and parietal on the periphery of the internal wall of the nuclear envelope. Cysts have not been observed. Cells often form a transient doughnut-shaped round structure on the surface of agar lasting 2–7 min. Primarily a bacterivore. These morphological and behavioral characteristics are similar to other species, thus confident identification of *T. homeri* n. sp. must be done by SSU rDNA sequencing and analysis.

Type location. Strain SK13-4B of *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp. was obtained from the uppermost soil surface on the Mississippi State University campus in Starkville, Mississippi, USA (lat. 33.455812° N, long. –88.788126° E) in May of 2013.

Type material. Type strain SK13-4B is deposited in a metabolically inactive state in the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP 1583/16). This culture is considered the hapantotype (name-bearing type) of the species under article 73.3 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999).

Gene sequence data. The nearly complete SSU rRNA gene sequence of the type isolate (SK13-4B) is deposited in GenBank under accession number PP647605.

ZooBank ID. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:0D7CD4D5-D76D-4E41-AAAC-8FFCE85AA4E.

Etymology. For the specific epithet, we chose *homeri* referring to the transient, doughnut-shaped cell morphology observed in this *Thecamoeba* strain. The name is after *The Simpsons* television cartoon character Homer Simpson, whose favorite food are doughnut pastries (donut).

Differential diagnosis. *Thecamoeba homeri* n. sp. differs from other known species based on SSU rRNA gene sequences.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Tristan C. Henderson: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Lucia Garcia-Gimeno:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Investigation, Data curation. **Charles E. Beasley:** Writing – review & editing, Visualization, Validation, Investigation, Data curation. **Nicholas W. Fry:** Writing – review & editing, Investigation. **Jayden Bess:** Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Matthew W. Brown:** Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Formal analysis.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

All molecular data and supplemental files (figures and videos) associated with this manuscript are available on FigShare (<https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.24772854>). This includes alignments (trimmed and untrimmed) and phylogenetic trees.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the United States National Science Foundation (NSF) Division of Environmental Biology (DEB) grant 2100888 (<http://www.nsf.gov>) awarded to MWB. We thank Mrs. Kathryn and Mr. Jonathon Byrum for allowing us to collect cow dung from their private farm. We thank Mississippi State University for permitting our collection of dung. We thank Ms. Stephanie Sorrell for early help with this work on *Thecamoeba*. This work was presented at the IX European Congress of Protistology (ECOP) and the Congress of the International Society of Protistologists (ISOP), travel to this congress for T. C. Henderson and N. W. Fry was supported by ISOP through Holz-Conner awards.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2024.126082>.

References

- Alexeieff, A., 1912. Sur les caractères cytologiques et la systématique des amibes du groupe limax (*Naegleria* nov. gen. et *Hartmannella* nov. gen.) et des amibes parasites des vertébrates (*Protamoeba* nov. gen.). Bull. Soc. Zool. Fr. 37, 55–74. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.part.7429>.
- Altschul, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W., Lipman, D.J., 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. J. Mol. Biol. 215, 403–410. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836\(05\)80360-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-2836(05)80360-2).
- Brown, M.W., Silberman, J.D., 2013. The non-dictyostelid sorocarpic amoebae. In: Romeralo, M., Baldauf, S., Escalante, R. (Eds.), Dictyostelids. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 219–242. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-38487-5_12.
- Brown, M.W., Silberman, J.D., Spiegel, F.W., 2012. A contemporary evaluation of the acrasids (Acrasidae, Heterolobosea, Excavata). Europ. J. Protistol. 48, 103–123.
- Brown, M.W., Spiegel, F.W., Silberman, J.D., 2007. Amoeba at attention: phylogenetic affinity of *Sappinia pedata*. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 54, 511–519. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.2007.00292.x>.
- Burki, F., Roger, A.J., Brown, M.W., Simpson, A.G.B., 2019. The new tree of Eukaryotes. Trends Ecol. Evol. 35, 43–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2019.08.008>.
- Burki, F., Sandin, M.M., Jamy, M., 2021. Diversity and ecology of protists revealed by metabarcoding. Curr. Biol. 31, R1267–R1280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2021.07.066>.
- Clark, K., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D.J., Ostell, J., Sayers, E.W., 2016. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 44, D67–D72. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1276>.
- Cook, W.R.I., 1939. Some observations on *Sappinia pedata* Dang. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 22, 302–306. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536\(39\)80053-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0007-1536(39)80053-5).
- Criscuolo, A., Gribaldo, S., 2010. BMGE (Block Mapping and Gathering with Entropy): a new software for selection of phylogenetic informative regions from multiple sequence alignments. BMC Evol. Biol. 10, e210. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-10-210>.
- Dangeard, P., 1896. Contribution à l'étude des Acrasées. Botanique 5, 1–20.
- Fiore-Donno, A.M., Meyer, M., Baldauf, S.L., Pawlowski, J., 2008. Evolution of dark-spored Myxomycetes (slime-molds): molecules versus morphology. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 46, 878–889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.12.011>.
- Gelman, B.B., Gelman, B.B., Rauf, S.J., Nader, R., Nader, R., Nader, R., Popov, V., Borkowski, J., Borkowski, J., Chaljub, G., Nauta, H.W., Nauta, H.J.W., Nauta, H.W., Visvesvara, G.S., 2001. Amoebic encephalitis due to *Sappinia diploidea*. Jama 285, 2450–2451. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.285.19.2450>.
- Gelman, B.B., Gelman, B.B., Popov, V., Chaljub, G., Nader, R., Nader, R., Nader, R., Rauf, S.J., Nauta, H.W., Nauta, H.J.W., Nauta, H.W., Visvesvara, G.S., 2003. Neuropathological and ultrastructural features of amoebic encephalitis caused by *Sappinia diploidea*. J. Neuropath. Exp. Neurol. 62, 990–998. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnen/62.10.990>.
- Goodfellow, L.P., Belcher, J.H., Page, J.C., 1974. A light- and electron-microscopical study of *Sappinia diploidea*, a sexual amoeba. Protistologica 2, 207–216.
- Hartmann, M., Nägler, K., 1908. Copulation bei *Amoeba diploidea* mit Selbständigkeit bleiben der Gametenkerne während des ganzen Lebenszyklus. Sitz.-Ber. Ges. Naturf. 5, 112–125.
- ICZN, 1999. International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, 4th edn. International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London.
- Katoh, K., Standley, D.M., 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment Software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol. Biol. Evol. 30, 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>.
- Medlin, L., Elwood, H.J., Stickel, S., Sogin, M.L., 1988. The characterization of enzymatically amplified eukaryotic 16S-like rRNA-coding regions. Gene 71, 491–499. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119\(88\)90066-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1119(88)90066-2).
- Mesentsev, Y., Kamyshtatskaya, O., Smirnov, A.V., 2020. *Thecamoeba foliovenanda* n. sp. (Amoebozoa, Discosea, Thecamoebida) - One more case of sibling species among amoebae of the genus *Thecamoeba*. Eur. J. Protistol. 76, e125716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2020.125716>.
- Mesentsev, Y., Surkova, A., Kamyshtatskaya, O., Nasonova, E., Smirnov, A., 2023. Re-isolation and reevaluation of boundaries of *Thecamoeba terricola* Greeff, 1886 (Amoebozoa, Discosea, Thecamoebida), with description of *Thecamoeba vicaria* n. sp. Eur. J. Protistol. 91, e126030. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejop.2023.126030>.
- Michel, R., Wylezich, C., Hauröder, B., Smirnov, A., 2006. Phylogenetic position and notes on the ultrastructure of *Sappinia diploidea* (Thecamoebidae). Protistology 4, 319–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.2004.tb00557.x>.
- Olive, E.W., 1902. Monograph of the Acrasidae. Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist. 30, 451–513.
- Page, F.C., 1976. An Illustrated Key to Freshwater and Soil Amoebae with Notes on Cultivation and Ecology. Freshwater Biological Association, Ambleside, Cumbria.
- Page, F.C., 1977. The genus *Thecamoeba* (Protozoa, Gymnamoebia) species distinctions, locomotive morphology, and protozoan prey. J. Nat. Hist. 11, 25–63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222937700770031>.
- Page, F.C., 1983. Marine Gymnamoebae. Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Cambridge.
- Page, F.C., 1987. The classification of 'naked' amoebae (phylum Rhizopoda). Arch. Protistenkd. 133, 199–217. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9365\(87\)80053-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9365(87)80053-2).
- Page, F.C., 1988. A new key to freshwater and soil gymnamoeba. Arch. Protistenkd. 133, 199–217. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9365\(87\)80053-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0003-9365(87)80053-2).
- Porfirio-Sousa, A.L., Henderson, T., Brown, M., 2023. Effective and efficient cytoskeleton (actin and microtubules) fluorescence staining of adherent eukaryotic cells. Protocols.io. <https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.kxygxeerzv8j/v2>.
- Qvarnstrom, Y., da Silva, A.J., Schuster, F.L., Gelman, B.B., Gelman, B.B., Visvesvara, G. S., 2009. Molecular confirmation of *Sappinia pedata* as a causative agent of amoebic encephalitis. J. Infect. Dis. 199, 1139–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1086/597473>.
- R Core Team, 2021. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna. <https://www.R-project.org>.
- Raper, K.B., 1960. Levels of cellular interaction in amoeboid populations. Proc. Am. Philosoph. Soc. 104, 579–604.
- Smirnov, A., Brown, S., 2004. Guide to the methods of study and identification of soil gymnamoebae. Protistology 3, 148–190.
- Spiegel, F.W., Shadwick, L.L., Ndiritu, G.G., Brown, M.W., Aguilar, M., Shadwick, J.D., 2017. Protosteloid amoebozoia (Protostelids, Protosporangiida, Cavostellida, Schizoplasmodiida, Fractovitelida, and sporocarpic members of vanellida, centramoebida, and Pellitida). In: Archibald, J., Simpson, A.G.B., Slamovits, C. (Eds.), Handbook of the Protists. Springer, Cham, pp. 1311–1348. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-28149-0_12.
- Stamatakis, A., 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. Bioinformatics 30, 1312–1313. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu033>.
- Swofford, D.L., 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis using Parsimony (*and other methods), version 4.0 Beta 10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA.
- Tice, A.K., Brown, M.W., 2022. Multicellularity: amoebae follow the leader to food. Curr. Biol. 32, R418–R420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2022.03.067>.
- Walochnik, J., Wylezich, C., Michel, R., 2010. The genus *Sappinia*: history, phylogeny and medical relevance. Exp. Parasitol. 126, 4–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2009.11.017>.
- Walthall, A.C., Brown, M.W., Tice, A.K., 2016. A new species of *Flamella* (Amoebozoa, Variosea, Gracilipodida) isolated from a freshwater pool in southern Mississippi, USA. Acta Protozool. 55, 111–117. <https://doi.org/10.4467/16890027AP.16.010.4945>.
- Wylezich, C., Kudryavtsev, A., Michel, R., Corsaro, D., Walochnik, J., 2014. Electron microscopical investigations of a new species of the genus *Sappinia* (Thecamoebidae, Amoebozoa), *Sappinia platani* sp. nov., reveal a dictyosome in this genus. Acta Protozool. 54, 45–51. <https://doi.org/10.4467/16890027ap.15.004.2191>.